

# Mid-Sussex Highways Team



<b>CONVERSATION RECORD/NOTE TO FILE</b>						
ENQUIRE REFERENCE			CIRCULATION			
Date: 3 <sup>rd</sup> Oct 2016      Time: 10am			Name	Info	Action	
Writer: <i>Richard Speller</i>			Parish	✓	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Telephone call - Incoming Meeting			Cllr C Field	✓		
Outgoing File Note						
Those involved: member of the Parish Council						
Contact Details: Organisation Horsted Keynes (if not on file)						
Address						
Telephone			email			
SUBJECT: <a href="#">Neighbourhood Steering Group</a>				Action		
<p>Below are my post meeting notes and observations following the meeting of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Oct.</p> <p><b>General comment</b>, please use the web links below to log an enquiry with West Sussex Highway as this will ensure your concern is recorded and deal with by an appropriate officer and time scale.</p> <p><a href="http://www.westsussex.gov.uk/roadpavementproblem">www.westsussex.gov.uk/roadpavementproblem</a> - this goes to our 'Report a problem with a road or pavement' web page so they can report a fault.</p> <p><a href="http://www.westsussex.gov.uk/roadpavementenquiry">www.westsussex.gov.uk/roadpavementenquiry</a> - this goes to the 'Raise an enquiry about a road or pavement' page and is the equivalent to our e-mail now for all enquiries which are not specifically fault reports.</p> <p><b>Site visits notes and observations</b>                      Police House Field (HKNP002) as per previous note, access to the proposed 10 houses will need to be carefully considered regarding the close proximity to the existing junction between Birch Grove Road and Danehill. The existing field access off Danehill does not appear to be appropriate as it is too far south.</p> <p><b>Birch Grove Road</b>, proposed 50 units of varying size. From the plans supplied it would appear the developer is proposing to create a private service road and footpath within the field boundary, resulting in two accesses onto the Birch Grove Road. Both will need full visibility splays and careful consideration will be required regarding pedestrian access and its linkage to the existing path network.</p>						

## CONVERSATION RECORD/NOTE TO FILE (continued)

Sugar Lane (HKNP0013, 14, 16&17) proposed 44? units of varying size. From the plans supplied it would appear the developer is proposing to create a single point of vehicle access (opposite Jefferies) and two points for pedestrian access. The vehicle access will require full visibility splays and carefully consideration will be required regarding both pedestrian accesses and their linkage to the existing path network.

Action

Land west of Church lane, a number of access options are proposed:-  
 Off Church Lane  
 Off Cheeleys and  
 Off Station Road.

As no detail drawings are available for each option it's difficult to be specific about each option and addition comment(s) will be required when that detail is available.

It's difficult to envisage how an access road could be designed, to reasonable visibility standards, off Church Lane. I also have reservations about pedestrian facilities along Church lane. Carefully considered will be required regarding draining in this area too.

As with Church Lane, it's difficult to envisage how an access road could be designed, to reasonable visibility standards, off Cheeleys without purchasing more than one property.

Station Road appears to offer a better access route but will comment further when more details are available.

Developments off Hamsland. The effect on On-Street parking remains my main concern with these proposals and will comment further when more details are available.